

Question 28: 15. Would you support extending the 200-foot distance from shore/obstruction for wake boat plowing?

300 feet

300 feet and prohibit in the narrows

150ft

300 ft

300 ft

400'

250ft

500'

250'

300 feet

300 feet

250'

200'

NOT EQUIPPED TO ANSWER

400'

300'

300'

250 ft

500 feet

When swimming I have been slammed into our dock and under it by wake boats. The waves from plowing wake boats keeps me afraid to allow our grandson to swim without me. We both wear life jackets. It's scary out there!

300 ft

100ft

ONLY MIDDLE OF LAKE, OR IN A SPECIAL AREA OF LAKE THAT HAD WAVE EATER LANE. TO STOP WAVE ACTION.

NO VOTE WITH WRITE IN : CHANGING DISTANCES WON'T CHANGE IMPACT OF WAKE ENHANCED BOATS.

250 feet

300 ft

200'

200 ft

500 ft

300 ft

200 feet

The lake is too narrow in many places, requiring middle of the lake operation and it will not stop large waves hitting shore on both sides.

300'

300 ft

300 ft

300 ft. eliminate wake boats and enforce 200 ft. distance for all boats. In narrow part of lake there is not enough distance to prevent the damage from wake boats. We are at the mouth of the narrows.

300 ft

300 feet

AS MUCH AS POSSIBLE

250'

Distance doesn't matter lake just isn't large enough for waves to dissipate no matter the distance.

250'

250 ft

400 ft

500'

200 ft

150'

NEVER!

WHERE APPLICABLE

500 feet

300 ft

200 ft

200 ft

500'

500'

250'

200+ AND NOT USED IN THE NARROWS

300'

300 ft

I WOULD SUPPORT NO WAKE BOATS ON OUR LAKE IS TOO SMALL

300 ft

250'[,

200 ft. or more

1000 ft

MIDDLE OF LAKE

300 feet

"600 ft

See Vermont Law"

400'

300'

60 ft

600 ft

500 ft

"NO SELECTED WITH NOTE:

NOT A REALISTIC OPTION TO INCREASE AS LAKE ID SO NARROW"

250 ft

250'

300'

250 ft

Middle only or prohibit altogether

300 feet

400 ft

300

300 ft

300ft

NOTES: 55 ANSWERED YES BUT THEN GAVE **NO** INPUT.  
MOST COMMON SUGGESTION 300 (28 RESPONSES)